

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| <i>)</i> | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|--|
| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | | |
| 09/710,776 11/09/2000 | | George J. Candella | FRA175/189535 | 5507 | | |
| 22206 | 7590 02/23/2004 | | EXAMINER | | | |
| FELLERS S | NIDER BLANKENSHII | ELISCA, F | ELISCA, PIERRE E | | | |
| BAILEY & T | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | | | |
| THE KENNE | DV RI III DING | AKI UNII | I AI LK NOMBLK | | | |

FELLERS SNIDER BLANKENSHIF BAILEY & TIPPENS THE KENNEDY BUILDING 321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800 TULSA, OK 74103-3318

3621 DATE MAILED: 02/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | _ | | <u>.</u> | | V | | | | |
|---|--|--|---|---|-------------------|--|--|--|--|
| | | Applicatio | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| • | | 09/710,776 | 5 | CANDELLA ET A | | | | | |
| ` Offic Action | n Summary | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Pierre E. I | Elisca | 3621 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified a If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or | THIS COMMUNICATIOn able under the provisions of 37 CFF mailing date of this communication bove is less than thirty (30) days, a dabove, the maximum statutory per extended period for reply will, by stallater than three months after the maximum status. | N. R 1.136(a). In no ever reply within the statut riod will apply and will atute, cause the applic | ort, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from eation to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timel the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) ☐ Responsive to con | nmunication(s) filed on 🔟 | 11/24/2003 | | | | | | | |
| 2a) This action is FINA | AL . 2b) □ T | This action is no | n-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this applicat | ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| closed in accordar | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>/-3.2</u> is/ | are pending in the applic | ation. | | | | | | | |
| · · | laim(s) is/are with | drawn from con | sideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/ | | | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>/-3.2</u> is/ | | | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/ | | | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are | e subject to restriction an | id/or election re | quirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | | | |
| • | objected to by the Exam | | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) file | d on is/are: a)☐ a | accepted or b)[| objected to by the | Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declar | ation is objected to by the | e Examiner. Not | e the attached Office | Action or form P | ГО-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 119 | | | | | | | | |
| | * c) ☐ None of: Dies of the priority docum | ents have beer | received. | | | | | | |
| <u>—</u> | pies of the priority docum | | | | | | | | |
| · · | e certified copies of the p | | | ed in this National | Stage | | | | |
| ' ' | from the International Bui | • | ` ** | nd. | | | | | |
| See the attached de | etailed Office action for a | iist of the certiii | ed copies not receive | u. | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (I | • | | 4) Interview Summary | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ | | Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal F | ate Patent Application (PT0 | O-152) | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | | 6) Other: | main i ibbiograph (i. 17 | - · , | | | | |

Serial Number: 09/710,776 Page 2

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office action is in response to Applicant's amendment filed on 11/24/2003.
- 2. Claims 1-32 are pending.
- 3. The rejection to claims 1-32 under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Tetro et al (U.S. Pat. No. 6,122,624) as set forth in the Office action mailed on 06/20/2003 is maintained. See., below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e),Patent Application Publication or Patent to Another with Earlier Filing Date, in view of the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 20902.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122 (b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351 (a) shall have the effects for

Art Unit: 3621

purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21 (2) of such treaty in the English language.

5. Claims 1-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102 (e) as being anticipated by Tetro et al. (U.S. Pat. No. 6,122,624).

As per claims 1, 14-16, 24-27, and 31 Tetro discloses a method/system for enhanced fraud detection in electronic purchase transactions from a remote site (which is readable as Applicant's claimed invention wherein it is stated that a method for detecting fraud non-personal transactions), comprising the steps of:

transmitting the purchaser's data to a fraud-detection system, the purchaser's data including a ship-to address for the transaction (see., abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that an electronic purchase is prompted to input the user's billing address and social security number, col 5, lines 47-59, the enhanced fraud detection system 10); processing the purchaser's data to determine whether the transaction is potentially fraudulent (see., abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that a determination is made whether the account associated with the social security number has been authorized for use, col 2, lines 39-61, please note that the process of matching the user's billing address and social security number is equivalent to the step of determining for potential fraud):

Serial Number: 09/710,776 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

returning the relative risk of fraudulent activity associated with the transaction (see., abstract, col 2, lines 49-67, specifically wherein it is stated that if the social security number falls into any of these categories, then authorization for the purchase is refused).

As per claims 2-6, 12, 18-22, 28, 30 Tetro discloses the claimed method wherein the processing step comprising parsing out the purchaser's ship-to address (see., abstract, col 39-61, specifically wherein it is stated that inputting the user's address).

As per claim 7, Tetro discloses the claimed method wherein the ship-to address checking step comprises checking the area code of the purchaser's phone number to determine if fits the geographic area of the ship-to address (see., abstract, col 39-61).

As per claims 8-11, 13, 23, 28, 29, Tetro discloses the claimed method wherein the ship-to address checking step comprises comparing the purchaser's ship-to address against the national of address service database or the publisher's change of address database (see., col 5, lines 61-67, col 6, lines 1-42, figs 2, 4, and 5, item 500).

As per claims 17, and 32 Tetro discloses the claimed method wherein the step of calculating comprising a score based at least in part upon the likelihood that the transaction is fraudulent (see., col 5, lines 47-60, please note that the step of calculating a score is equivalent a threshold check).

RESPONSE TO ARGUMENTS

6. Applicant's arguments filed on 11/24/2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

REMARKS

- 7. In response to Applicant's arguments, Applicant argues that the prior art of record (Tetro) singularly or in combination fails to anticipate or render obvious the recited feature:
- a. Applicant argues that "the ship-to-address" may be different from the "user's billing address". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees Tetro discloses this limitation in the abstract, specifically wherein it is stated that an electronic purchase is prompted to input the user's billing address and social security number, col 5, lines 47-59, the enhanced fraud detection system 10. Applicant should note that there is no different between a ship-to-address and a user's billing address since both accomplish the same work, which is delivering good (s) to a address.
- b. Applicant also argues that an important distinction between the ship-to-address and the user's billing address is highlighted in the Applicant's background of the invention.

However, the highlighted background distinction Applicant is referring to is not in the claims. Therefore, Applicant's argument is moot.

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Pierre E. Elisca whose telephone number is 703 305-3987. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Trammell can be reached on 703 305-9769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Pierre Eddy Elisca

Primary Patent Examiner

February 12, 2004